

APHID TRANSMISSION OF SUGARCANE MOSAIC VIRUS (SCMV)

Mansoor-ul-Hasan*, Ghulam Mustafa Sahi**, Waqas Wakil* and Yasir Imanat***

*Dept. of Agri. Entomology, **Dept. of Plant Pathology and ***Dept. of Agronomy,
University of Agriculture, Faisalabad.

Transmission of sugarcane mosaic potyvirus from corn to corn by six aphid species viz. *Myzus persicae*, *Schizaphis graminum*, *Aphis gossypii*, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, *R. padi* and *Sitobion avenae* was investigated. Sugarcane mosaic virus has a non-persistent or stylet-borne relationship with its aphid vectors. Rate of transmission was highest for *R. maidis* and *R. padi* (92%), followed by *S. graminum* (72%), whereas, *Sitobion avenae* failed to transmit SCMV.

Key words: Aphid, transmission, sugarcane mosaic virus, SCMV, *Saccharum officinarum*.

INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane mosaic virus (SCMV) is a member of the family potyviridae of plant viruses. Brandes (1920) showed that the corn leaf aphid *Rhopalosiphum maidis* could transmit mosaic from diseased to healthy sugarcane and this insect remained the only known vector until Ingram and Summers (1936, 1938) determined that the rusty plum aphid *Hysteroneura setariae* and the green bug *Schizaphis graminum* could also transmit the disease in sugarcane. Transmission of the virus from corn to corn and from sorghum to sorghum was obtained by Lawas and Fernandez (1949) with *Aphis gossypii* in the Philippines and by Anzalone and Pirone (1964) with *Myzus persicae* in Louisiana. *R. maidis* is much more efficient as a vector of mosaic virus than *S. graminum* or *H. setariae* (Ingram and Summers, 1936, 1938). In Morocco, Fischer and Lockhart (1974) found that *R. maidis* but not *R. padi* from Taiwan as a vector of SCMV. When

transmission efficiencies of SCMV, respectively. Chen *et al.* (1990) studied the transmission abilities of seven different aphid vectors of SCMV in Taiwan and found *R. maidis* and *S. graminum* as the most efficient vectors. Noone *et al.* (1994) reported that *R. maidis*, *A. gossypii* and *M. persicae* transmitted the sugarcane strain of sugarcane mosaic potyvirus (SCMV-SC) in a non-persistent manner. Similarly, Garrido and Uzcategui (2000) observed that the SCMV was transmitted from sorghum to sorghum in a non-persistent manner by the aphid *R. maidis*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out in the glass-houses of Department of Soil Science and Plant Breeding & Genetics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad during March-April 2002. Following aphid species were collected from fields at the campus and reared on their respective hosts.

Aphid	Collected From	Botanical Name
<i>Myzus persicae</i> Sluz.	Raddish	<i>Raphanus sativus</i> L.
<i>Schizaphis graminum</i> Rond.	Wheat	<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.
<i>Aphis gossypii</i> Glover.	Holly-hock	<i>Althea rosea</i> L.
<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i> Fitsch. (= <i>Aphis maidis</i>) and <i>R. padi</i> L.	Maize	<i>Zea mays</i> L.
<i>Sitobion avenae</i>	Oat	<i>Avena sativa</i> L.

several species of insect can transmit a virus, it is more difficult to assess their relative importance in the virus transmission. The principal vector is sometimes the least prevalent insect.

Shukla and Rao (1989) observed 52 and 48% natural transmission of SCMV sorghum strain respectively during two successive years with *M. persicae*, *A. gossypii*, *Longiunguis sacchari* and *R. maidis* at Gorakhpur, India. Rao *et al.* (1990) rated *R. maidis* as a major vector of SCMV in Eastern U.P., India. Joshi and Mishra (1990) reported *A. craccivora*, *R. maidis* and *Melanaphis sacchari* with 48, 40 and 30%

Sweet-corn seedlings seeded in pots were used as test plants. Each aphid species was starved for 1 hour in petri-dish containing dry filter paper. The starved aphids were then placed on the virus source plant (corn-seedling) allowing a virus acquisition feeding period for 1-2 minutes. Aphids were removed with a camel's hair brush and immediately transferred to healthy seedling of sweet corn (5 viruliferous aphids/seedling) for inoculation feeding. The plants were covered with cylindrical insect cages during the 24 hour test period. Aphids were killed by spraying the seedlings by an insecticide. Test plants were

transferred from the laboratory to the insect free glass-house and observed for symptom expression for about one month. In each experiment healthy corn seedlings not exposed to aphids were placed with test plants in the glass-house to serve as control.

RESULTS

All the aphid species in the transmission tests gave highly significant results (Table-1) and transmitted SCMV in a non-persistent manner, except *Sitobion avenae*. It was found that *M. persicae* transmitted SCMV in the range of 15-45% with a mean of 29%. Similarly, *A. gossypii* gave a range of 10-30% with a mean of 19%. Whereas, *S. graminum* transmitted SCMV in the range of 55-85% with a mean of 72%. The mixed population of *R. maidis* and *R. padi* transmitted SCMV in the range of 80-100% with a mean of 92%. On the other-hand, *Sitobion avenae* did not seem to be involved in the transmission of SCMV as only one plant showed the symptoms but no virus could be recovered in back inoculation test.

DISCUSSION

The transmission of SCMV by the five test aphid species is comparable to that reported by Brandes (1920), Ingram and Summers (1936, 1938), Lawas and Fernandez (1949), Anzalone and Pirone (1964), Fischer and Lockhart (1974) and Yang (1978, 1988). The finding that SCMV can be transmitted after an acquisition-feeding period of 1-2 minutes, the non-persistent or stylet-borne transmission, supports the reports, Noone *et al.* (1994) and Garrido and Uzcategui (2000). The transmission study clearly demonstrates that *R. maidis*, *R. padi* and *S. graminum* are more efficient vectors than the *M. persicae* and *A. gossypii* in transmitting the SCMV and would be best suited for use in the large scale SCMV transmission studies. Similar opinions have been expressed by Ingram and Summers (1936, 1938), Yang (1988), Rao *et al.* (1990).

The minor difference in the results of aphid transmission of SCMV from other studies can be attributed to the source of virus isolate, experimental

Table 1: Analysis of variance for the transmission of sugarcane mosaic virus by different Aphid species

Source of Variation	Degree of Freedom	Sum of Square	Mean square	F.value	Prob.
Aphid species	4	29306.000	7326.500	81.406**	0.0000
Error	20	1800.000	90.000		
Total	24	31106.000			

** = Highly significant

The comparative transmission of SCMV by these aphid species (Table-2) clearly suggest that *R. maidis* and *R. padi* were the most efficient (92% transmission)

conditions, different SCMV strains and techniques used in the studies and aphids behavior. As observed in this study, different aphid species have different

Table 2. Comparison of transmission of sugarcane mosaic virus by different Aphid species

Aphid Vector	Common Name	Total No. of Plants Tested	Total No. of Plants Infected	Mean % Infection
<i>Myzus persicae</i> Sluz.	Green Peach Aphid	100	29	29 c
<i>Schizaphis graminum</i> Rond.	Wheat Aphid	100	72	72 b
<i>Aphis gossypii</i> Glover.	Cotton Aphid	100	19	19 c
<i>Rhopalosiphum maidis</i> Fitch. (= <i>Aphis maidis</i>) and <i>R. padi</i> L.	Corn Leaf Aphid/Maize Aphid and Bird Cherry Aphid	100	92	92 a
<i>Sitobian avenae</i>	Grain aphid	100	0	0 d

vectors of SCMV, followed by *S. graminum* (72%), whereas, the transmission by *M. persicae* (29%) was statistically similar to *A. gossypii* (19%).

feeding behavior. *Rhopalosiphum spp.* And *Myzus persicae* probed more readily than *A. gossypii*. Palatability of the host might also be one of the reasons for the success or failure of the aphid to transmit SCMV.

REFERENCES:

- Anzalone, L. Jr. and T. P. Pirone. 1964. Transmission of sugarcane mosaic virus by *Myzus persicae*. Plant Disease Reporter. 48: 984-985.
- Brandes, E. W. 1920. Artificial and insect transmission of sugarcane mosaic. J. Agri. Res. 19: 131-138.
- Chen, C. T., S. L. Yang and T. C. Peng. 1990. Studies on sugarcane mosaic and maize dwarf mosaic viruses in Taiwan. Taiwan sugar. 37 (4): 9-15.
- Fischer, H. U. and B. E. Lockhart. 1974. Identity of a strain of sugarcane mosaic virus occurring in Morocco. Plant Disease Reporter. 58 (12): 1121-1123.
- Garrido, M. J. and R. C. Uzcategui. 2000. First report of sugarcane mosaic potyvirus strain D in sugarcane in Venezuela. Fitopatologia. 35 (1): 59-65.
- Ingram, J. W. and E. M. Summers. 1936. Transmission of sugarcane mosaic by the rusty plum aphid, *Hysteroneura setariae* (Thom.). J. Agri. Res. 52: 879-887.
- Ingram, J. W. and E. M. Summers. 1938. Transmission of sugarcane mosaic by the green bug, *Toxoptera graminum* (Rond.). J. Agri. Res. 56: 537-540.
- Joshi, D.M. and M.D. Mishra. 1990. Studies on sugarcane mosaic virus from South Gujarat, India. Abstracts 6th Ann. Conf. Indian Virol. Soc., pp. 105.
- Lawas, O.M. and W.L. Fernandez. 1949. A study of transmission of the corn mosaic and some of the physical properties of its virus. Philipp. Agric. 32: 231-238.
- Noone, D. F., S. Srinisk, D. S. Teakle, P.G. Allsopp and P. W. J. Taylor. 1994. Ability to transmit sugarcane mosaic virus and seasonal phenology of some aphid species in the Isis and Bundaberg districts of Queensland. J. Aust. Entomol. Soc. 33: 27-30.
- Rao, G. P., M. Singh and H. N. Singh. 1990. Major field vector of sugarcane mosaic virus in Eastern U.P. India. Abstr. Of 6th Ann. Conf. Indian. Virol. Soc. Pune. pp. 35, 104.
- Shukla, K. and G. P. Rao. 1989. Spread of sugarcane mosaic virus-sorghum strain in nature. Ind. Sugar. 39: 409-410.
- Yang, S. L. 1978. Insect transmission of sugarcane mosaic virus. Ann. Rep. Taiwan Sugar Res. Inst. 1977-78. pp. 48.
- Yang, S. L. 1988. Studies on aphid vectors of sugarcane mosaic virus. Ann. Sump ISSCT, Roc., Taiwan Sugar. 35 (6): 11.